

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Evidence of conventional superconductivity in single-crystalline  $MgCNi_3$ 

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 255222 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/20/25/255222)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 13:15

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 (2008) 255222 (4pp)

# **Evidence of conventional superconductivity in single-crystalline MgCNi**<sub>3</sub>

## Hyun-Sook Lee<sup>1</sup>, Dong-Jin Jang<sup>1</sup>, Hye-Gyong Lee<sup>2</sup>, W Kang<sup>3</sup>, M H Cho<sup>4</sup> and Sung-Ik Lee<sup>2,5</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> National Creative Research Initiative Center for Superconductivity and Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea
 <sup>2</sup> National Creative Research Initiative Center for Superconductivity and Department of Physics, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Republic of Korea

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, Ewha Woman's University, Seoul 120-750, Republic of Korea

<sup>4</sup> Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea

E-mail: hslee@physics.postech.ac.kr and silee77@sogang.ac.kr

Received 18 March 2008, in final form 1 May 2008 Published 21 May 2008 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/20/255222

#### Abstract

Despite the strong expectation for a ferromagnetic ground state in MgCNi<sub>3</sub>, the real ground state shows superconductivity without any evidence of magnetism. In the electrical transport measurements on single-crystalline MgCNi<sub>3</sub>, we found that the ferromagnetic spin fluctuation either did not exist or was very suppressed and that MgCNi<sub>3</sub> could be well described by using the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer theory. The evidence for this conclusion is as follows: (1) the normal-state resistivity could be explained by using the electron–phonon scattering model and (2)  $H_{c2}(T)$  near  $T_c$  was linear.

## 1. Introduction

Ever since the discovery of MgCNi<sub>3</sub> [1], with its preference for superconductivity rather than the magnetism expected from the large number of magnetic Ni atoms in a unit cell, the origin of its superconductivity has been a mystery. For example, the pairing symmetry is still unclear. An s-wave pairing has been claimed on the basis of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [2], tunneling spectroscopy [3], specific heat [1, 4–8], and lower critical field [9] measurements while a non-s-wave pairing has also been suggested on the basis of the behavior of other tunneling spectra [6], the penetration depth [10], and the critical current [11]. The charge carrier for this material is also controversial. Band calculations predict hole carriers [12–15] while Hall and thermopower measurements show electron carriers [16, 17]. To circumvent this issue, two-band superconductivity [8, 18] was proposed, but has not been well accepted because one band is enough to describe the specific heat and the upper critical field  $(H_{c2})$ .

One big issue with this compound is whether scattering from the spin fluctuation, in addition to the conventional electron–phonon pairing mechanism, exists [2, 7, 12–15, 19–21]. According to the calculated band structure for MgCNi<sub>3</sub>, a high, narrow peak in the Ni 3d band just below the Fermi level could induce a ferromagnetic instability [12–15, 19–21], but so far, that instability has been reported only once, i.e., in the NMR data for polycrystalline MgCNi<sub>3</sub>, where both the spin fluctuation effects and the spin-singlet superconductivity were observed [2]. In contrast to the NMR results, electrical transport observations [1, 8, 17, 22] for polycrystalline MgCNi<sub>3</sub> were explained by using a simple BCS theory without including the spin fluctuation. Since single crystals of MgCNi<sub>3</sub> are now available [23], this issue can be clarified by measuring the transport properties.

In this research, we measured the resistance as functions of the temperature and the applied magnetic field for singlecrystalline MgCNi<sub>3</sub>. We found that MgCNi<sub>3</sub> could be described by using the conventional electron–phonon pairing mechanism, excluding the spin fluctuation, which is in contrast to the theoretical prediction. The evidence for this is as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



**Figure 1.** Temperature dependence of the resistivity at zero field for the MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystal. Upper inset: an image of the sample with four-probe contact leads. Lower inset: a magnified view of the  $\rho(T)$ near  $T_c$ . The open circles are the experimental data, and the blue and the pink solid lines are the theoretical fitting curves obtained by using the Debye-phonon model and the Einstein-phonon model, respectively. The details are in the text.

(This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

follows: (1) the normal-state resistivity ( $\rho(T)$ ) could be explained by using only electron–phonon scattering and (2)  $H_{c2}(T)$  near  $T_c$  showed a linear behavior, as predicted in the BCS theory.

#### 2. Experimental details

For several years after the discovery of superconductivity in MgCNi<sub>3</sub>, the growth of single crystals was a formidable task. Compared to the range of synthesis conditions for MgB<sub>2</sub> single crystals, which were synthesized by several groups at high pressure just after the discovery of its superconductivity, that for MgCNi<sub>3</sub> turned out to be very narrow. Only very recently, inside a high-pressure furnace, have single crystals of several hundred microns in size been grown [23]. By using an electron probe x-ray microanalyzer, we found the deficiency of carbon with respect to stoichiometry for our single crystals to be negligible. The x-ray diffraction pattern and the transmission electron microscopy image showed that the MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystals had a simple cubic crystal structure. For the transport measurements, we selected clean, flat single crystals with sizes of a few hundred micrometers and fabricated four metallic leads by using a photolithographic technique. An image of the sample with four leads is shown in the upper inset of figure 1. The temperature (T) and the magnetic field (H) dependences of the resistivity ( $\rho$ ) were measured by using a standard DC four-probe method. Crystals from the same batch showed the same transport properties.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the  $\rho(T)$  of the MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystal without a magnetic field applied. The  $T_c$  onset is about 6.9 K, as shown in the lower inset of figure 1. The transition width of  $\Delta T_c \sim 0.05$  K, which was determined by using a criterion of 10–90% of the normal-state  $\rho$ , is very sharp. The normal-state  $\rho(T)$  curve of the single crystal has an upward curvature at low temperatures and a downward curvature at higher temperatures, which is similar to the situation reported for polycrystals. The residual resistivity ratio RRR =  $\rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho(8 \text{ K})$  is about 2.5, which is larger than that of polycrystals (1.85–2.5) [1, 8, 17, 22]. The residual resistivity of the crystal,  $\rho_0 \simeq 23 \,\mu\Omega$  cm, is smaller than that of polycrystals (40–1200  $\mu\Omega$  cm) [1, 8, 17, 22]. This indicates that impurity scattering does not dominate the intrinsic signal in MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystals. However, the relatively low RRR and the relatively high  $\rho_0$  of the single crystal compared to those of good metals indicate that MgCNi<sub>3</sub> has poor metal properties at the normal state, as predicted by theory [19].

The resistive behavior of the normal state could be well described by using the Bloch–Grüneisen model in which electrons are scattered by phonons with two different modes. In the Debye-type phonon model (an acoustic mode) [24],

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 + \rho_{\rm ph}(T),$$

$$\rho_{\rm ph}(T) = \rho_1 \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_{\rm D}}\right)^3 \int_0^{\Theta_{\rm D}/T} \frac{z^3 \,\mathrm{d}z}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-z})(\mathrm{e}^z - 1)},$$
(1)

where  $\rho_0$  is the temperature-independent residual resistivity,  $\rho_{ph}(T)$  is the phonon scattering contribution,  $\rho_1$  is a proportionality constant, and  $\Theta_D$  is the Debye temperature. In the Einstein-type phonon model (an optical mode) [25, 26],

$$\rho(T)^{-1} = \rho_{\rm p}^{-1} + (\rho_0 + \rho_{\rm ph}(T))^{-1},$$

$$\rho_{\rm ph} = \rho_l \coth(\Theta_{\rm E}/2T)[1 + (2/3)\sinh^2(\Theta_{\rm E}/2T)]^{-1},$$
(2)

where  $\rho_{\rm p}$  is the parallel part of the resistivity and  $\Theta_{\rm E}$  is the Einstein temperature. The experimental data were well fitted by (i) the Debye-phonon model at lower temperatures (blue line in figure 1) and by (ii) the Einstein-phonon model at higher temperatures (pink line in figure 1). The parameters obtained are  $\Theta_{\rm D} \simeq 132$  K,  $\rho_0 \simeq 23 \ \mu\Omega$  cm, and  $\rho_l \simeq 40 \ \mu\Omega$  cm in case (i) and  $\Theta_{\rm E} \simeq 223$  K,  $\rho_0 \simeq 39 \ \mu\Omega$  cm,  $\rho_l \simeq 31 \ \mu\Omega$  cm, and  $\rho_{\rm p} \simeq 105 \ \mu\Omega$  cm in case (ii).

According to inelastic neutron scattering measurements by Heid *et al* [27] and calculations of lattice dynamics by Wälte *et al* [8] and Ignatov *et al* [28], the phonon density of states of MgCNi<sub>3</sub> is dominant in Ni modes, and Ni optical branches vibrate around phonon temperatures of 185 K [27] and 250 K [8, 28]. Here, we estimated the value of 185 K from the strong main peak of the Ni phonon density of states around 16 meV. Our Einstein temperature of 223 K is in the range of those values. From this result, we found that the electrons of MgCNi<sub>3</sub> in the normal state are scattered by Ni-based optical phonons.

The Einstein-type phonon model, which has a lower slope of resistivity than the Debye-phonon model, gives the downward behavior just after the upward curvature in MgCNi<sub>3</sub>. However, the Einstein-phonon model gives a nearly linear behavior of the resistivity at high temperatures. Thus, the parallel part of the resistivity in equation (2) causes the slope to decrease more at higher temperatures near 300 K in MgCNi<sub>3</sub>. Several models including the parallel resistance have been



**Figure 2.** Temperature dependence of the resistivity for the MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystal at different fields.

proposed for describing the decreasing slope [29, 30]. The negative curvature at higher temperatures is reminiscent of resistivity saturation, which is well observed in many metallic systems. The saturation occurs when the mean free path of the charge carriers becomes comparable to the interatomic distance [29, 30]. According to  $\rho = \frac{3\pi^2\hbar}{e^2k_F^2l}$ , assuming a three-dimensional system having a spherical Fermi surface [30], the mean free path *l* for  $\rho \approx 56 \ \mu\Omega$  cm at 300 K in MgCNi<sub>3</sub> is about 51 Å, which is much larger than the interatomic separations, indicating that the resistivity at 300 K is still approaching saturation. Here, we used the conversion  $\hbar a_0/e^2 = 0.022 \ m\Omega$  cm, the Bohr radius  $a_0 = 0.529 \ Å$ , and the Fermi wavevector  $k_F = \sqrt{2\pi}/a$ , where *a* is the lattice parameter.

The values of  $\Theta$  obtained from our experiment are lower than the  $\Theta_D \ge 284$  K obtained from specific heat measurements on polycrystals [4–8]. However, using the value of  $\Theta_E \simeq 223$  K and assuming an electron–phonon coupling strength of  $\lambda \simeq 0.67$  and a Coulomb pseudopotential of  $\mu^* =$ 0.1 in the McMillan formula refined by Allen and Dynes [31],

$$T_{\rm c} = \frac{\Theta}{1.45} \exp\left[\frac{-1.04(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu^*(1+0.62\lambda)}\right],$$
 (3)

in which magnetic scattering is not included, we could estimate the  $T_c$  of MgCNi<sub>3</sub> to be about 6.9 K, which is in good agreement with the  $T_c$  for our MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystals. Here,  $\lambda \simeq 0.67$  is in the range of  $\lambda \simeq 0.6-0.8$ , which was obtained from recent specific heat measurements [32] using our MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystals, and  $\mu^*$  is usually 0.1–0.15 in the absence of spin fluctuations, as presented in [28].

From the above-mentioned results, there is a crossover at around 70 K that is induced by a change in the phonon modes. The origin of the unusual shape of  $\rho(T)$  for MgCNi<sub>3</sub> is the Debye-phonon contribution for lower *T* and the Einstein-phonon contribution for higher *T*. In particular, we did not observe the spin fluctuation effect, which is of particular interest for MgCNi<sub>3</sub>. This implies that MgCNi<sub>3</sub> is a conventional, phonon-mediated superconductor with intermediate coupling strength.

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of the resistivity of MgCNi<sub>3</sub> at different magnetic fields. The peak



**Figure 3.** Upper critical field determined at 90% of the normal-state  $\rho$ , as shown in figure 2.

effect, which is presented only for clean and weakly pinned single crystals such as NbSe<sub>2</sub> [33–36], BSCCO [37, 38], and MgB<sub>2</sub> [39–42], appears. As figure 2 shows, the superconducting transitions under magnetic fields are very sharp; thus, the  $H_{c2}$  value does not depend very much on the criterion used to determine it. The  $H_{c2}(T)$ , which was determined at 90% of the normal-state  $\rho$ , is shown in figure 3. The  $H_{c2}(T)$  near  $T_c$  follows the linear dotted line. The fact that the linearity of  $H_{c2}(T)$  is predicted by the BCS theory without considering magnetic scattering strongly supports the notion that magnetic scattering does not occur in MgCNi<sub>3</sub>.

Within the BCS theory,  $H_{c2}(T = 0)$  can be estimated by using the Werthamer–Helfand–Hohenberg (WHH) formula [43]:

$$H_{c2}^{WHH}(0) = -0.693 T_{c} \left(\frac{dH_{c2}}{dT}\right)_{T_{c}},$$
 (4)

which leads to  $H_{c2}^{WHH}(0) \approx 12.8$  T, where the slope  $(dH_{c2}/dT)_{T_c}$  is around -2.7 T K<sup>-1</sup>. Meanwhile, the Paulilimiting field [44],

$$H^{\text{Pauli}} = 1.24k_{\text{B}}T_{\text{c}}/\mu_{\text{B}},\tag{5}$$

is about 12.7 T.  $H_{c2}^{WHH}$  and  $H^{Pauli}$  are almost the same. The superconducting coherence length  $\xi(0)$  is estimated to be approximately 51 Å by using  $H_{c2}(0) = \Phi_0/2\pi\xi^2(0)$ .

## 4. Conclusion

Although a ferromagnetic instability in the Ni-based material MgCNi<sub>3</sub> has been predicted, up to now the existence of a spin fluctuation or ferromagnetism has been controversial. Now, on the basis of several pieces of evidence from electron scattering with phonons in MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystals, we conclude that the fluctuating spins either do not contribute to the transport properties or are very suppressed. The linear temperature dependence of  $H_{c2}$  near  $T_c$  also strongly supports this idea. Finally, our transport measurements for MgCNi<sub>3</sub> single crystals support the superconducting origin for MgCNi<sub>3</sub> being a simple electron–phonon coupling.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology and the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the Creative Research Initiative Program. We thank the Asia Pacific Centre for Theoretical Physics for initiating this subject.

## References

- [1] He T et al 2001 Nature 411 54
- [2] Singer P M, Imai T, He T, Hayward M A and Cava R J 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 257601
- [3] Shan L, Tao H J, Gao H, Li Z Z, Ren Z A, Che G C and Wen H H 2003 *Phys. Rev.* B **68** 144510
- [4] Lin J Y, Ho P L, Huang H L, Lin P H, Zhang Y L, Yu R C, Jin C Q and Yang H D 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 052501
- [5] Lin J Y, Lin P H, Ho P L, Huang H L, Zhang Y L, Yu R C, Jin C Q and Yang H D 2002 J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. 15 485
- [6] Mao Z Q, Rosario M M, Nelson K D, Wu K, Deac I G, Schiffer P, Liu Y, He T, Regan K A and Cava R J 2003 *Phys. Rev.* B 67 094502
- [7] Shan L, Liu Z Y, Ren Z A, Che G C and Wen H H 2005 *Phys. Rev.* B 71 144516
- [8] Wälte A, Fuchs G, Muller K H, Handstein A, Nenkov K, Narozhnyi V N, Drechsler S L, Shulga S, Schultz L and Rosner H 2004 Phys. Rev. B 70 174503
- [9] Lu X F, Shan L, Wang Z, Gao H, Ren Z A, Che G C and Wen H H 2005 Phys. Rev. B 71 174511
- [10] Prozorov R, Snezhko A, He T and Cava R J 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 180502(R)
- [11] Young D P, Moldovan M and Adams P W 2004 *Phys. Rev.* B 70 064508
- [12] Rosner H, Weht R, Johannes M D, Pickett W E and Tosatti E 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 027001
- [13] Singh D J and Mazin I I 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 R140507
- [14] Shim J H, Kwon S K and Min B I 2001 *Phys. Rev.* B 64 R180510
- [15] Shein I R, Ivanovskii A L, Kurmaev E Z, Moewes A, Chiuzbian S, Finkelstein L D, Neumann M, Ren Z A and Che G C 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 024520
- [16] Li S Y et al 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 132505
- [17] Li S Y et al 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 064534
- [18] Rosner H et al 2003 Physica C 388/389 563

H-S Lee et al

- [19] Dugdale S B and Jarlborg T 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 100508(R)
- [20] Hayward M A, Haas M K, Ramirez A P, He T, Regan K A, Rogado N, Inumaru K and Cava R J 2001 Solid State Commun. 119 491
- [21] Kim I G, Lee J I and Freeman A J 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 064525
- [22] Kumary T G, Janaki J, Mani A, Jaya S M, Sastry V S, Hariharan Y, Radhakrishnan T S and Valsakumar M C 2002 *Phys. Rev.* B 66 064510
- [23] Lee H S, Jang D J, Lee H G, Lee S I, Choi S M and Kim C J 2007 Adv. Mater. 19 1807
- [24] Ziman J M 1960 Electrons and Phonons (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [25] Engquist H L 1980 Phys. Rev. B 21 2067
- [26] Affronte M, Marcus J and Escribe-Filippini C 1993 Solid State Commun. 85 501
- [27] Heid R, Renker B, Schober H, Adelmann P, Ernst D and Bohnen K-P 2004 Phys. Rev. B 69 092511
- [28] Ignatov A Yu, Savrasov S Y and Tyson T A 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 R220504
- [29] Fisk Z and Webb G W 1976 Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 1084
- [30] Gunnarsson O, Calandra M and Han J E 2003 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75 1085
- [31] Allen P B and Dynes R C 1975 Phys. Rev. B 12 905
- [32] Discussion with P Samuely 2007 Center of Low Temperature Physics at Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences and P J Šafárik, University in Slovakia
- [33] Higgins M J and Bhattacharya S 1996 Physica C 257 232
- [34] Ling X S, Berger J E and Prober D E 1998 *Phys. Rev.* B 57 R3249
- [35] Paltiel Y et al 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 3712
- [36] Xiao Z L, Andrei E Y, Shuk P and Greenblatt M 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 3265
- [37] Avraham N et al 2001 Nature 411 451
- [38] Kalisky B, Shaulov A and Yeshurun Y 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 012502
- [39] Pissas M, Lee S, Yamamoto A and Tajima S 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 097002
- [40] Lyard L et al 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 180502(R)
- [41] Welp U et al 2003 Phys. Rev. 67 012505
- [42] Lee H S, Jang D J, Kim H J, Kang B and Lee S I 2007 *Physica* C 456 153
- [43] Werthamar N R, Helfand E and Hohenberg P C 1966 *Phys. Rev.* 147 295
- [44] Clogston A M 1962 Phys. Rev. Lett. 9 266